

NON-DISCRIMINATION/ANTI-HARASSMENT POLICY

I. Policy of Non-Discrimination

It is the policy of Cleveland University-Kansas City not to discriminate against any student, employee or third party on the basis of race, color, religion, age, national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or preference, gender, disability, pregnancy, military status, or any other legally protected status protected by the law. Further, it is the policy of Cleveland University-Kansas City to prohibit unlawful harassment in all of its employment and academic programs, all University extracurricular activities, and all University-sponsored events, including events held off campus. All members of the University community should know:

- (i) what type of conduct constitutes harassment;
- (ii) the resources and processes available for addressing and resolving harassment complaints;
- (iii) the mechanisms for determining whether this Policy has been violated; and
- (iv) if a violation has occurred, the mechanisms for determining an appropriate resolution.

II. Relationship to the Sexual Harassment Policy

Other language in this policy notwithstanding, Sexual Harassment, as defined in the Sexual Harassment Policy, will be governed exclusively by the Sexual Harassment Policy and processes stated therein. All other forms of sex-based discrimination are governed by this policy, including sex-based harassment, as defined in this policy, that does not rise to the level of Sexual Harassment as defined in the Sexual Harassment Policy.

Conduct that is initially raised through a formal complaint under the Sexual Harassment Policy may also be addressed under this policy, in the institution's discretion, when: (i) the conduct at issue, or some part of it, may constitute a violation of this policy irrespective of whether it constitutes Sexual Harassment under the Sexual Harassment Policy; (ii) the formal complaint, or some part of it, has been dismissed under the Sexual Harassment Policy; or (iii) a final determination of a formal complaint has been made under the Sexual Harassment Policy and separate or additional action may be necessary to enforce this policy.

III. Definitions

A. Harassment in the educational setting/working environment:

At Cleveland University-Kansas City, unlawful harassment is defined as unwelcome verbal or physical conduct by any individual (including employees, students, or third parties) that denigrates or shows hostility or aversion toward a person because of that person's race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender

identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status, and that:

1. has the purpose or effect of creating an intimidating, hostile, abusive or offensive environment;
2. with regard to students, has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's ability to participate in or benefit from an educational program or activity; or
3. with regard to employees, has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work;
4. otherwise adversely affects an individual's work or learning opportunities.

Listed below are examples of behavior that can constitute unlawful harassment. The list is not all-inclusive. Each situation must be considered in light of the specific facts and circumstances to determine if harassment has occurred.

- Unwelcome jokes or comments about a legally protected characteristic (e.g., racial or ethnic jokes);
- Disparaging remarks to a person about a legally protected characteristic (e.g., negative or offensive remarks or jokes to a person's religion or religious garments);
- Displaying negative or offensive posters or pictures about a legally protected characteristic;
- Electronic communication, such as e-mail, text messaging and internet use, that violate this Policy; and
- Stereotyping.

B. Balancing Anti-Harassment Policies with Freedom of Speech/Expression.

The University's policies and procedures relating to harassment are not intended to inhibit or restrict free speech or the expression of ideas. The University strives to be an educational community in which all members can participate fully and equally, in an atmosphere free from all manifestations of bias and from all forms of harassment, exploitation, or intimidation. The University seeks to promote the full inclusion of all members and groups in every aspect of University life.

IV. Reporting Discrimination and Harassment and Prohibition Against Retaliation

Reports by a Student Regarding a Student

Any student who has a question, concern or complaint of discrimination, including harassment based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected

status is encouraged to bring the matter to the immediate attention of the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee.

Dean of Student Affairs
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0650

Reports by a Student Regarding a Faculty Member

Any student who has a question, concern or complaint of discrimination, including harassment based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status is encouraged to bring the matter to the immediate attention of the Vice President of Academic Affairs or his/her designee.

Vice President of Academic Affairs
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0600

Reports by a Student Regarding a Non-Faculty Member or Third Party

Any student who has a question, concern or complaint of discrimination, including harassment based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status is encouraged to bring the matter to the immediate attention of the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development, or his/her designee:

Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0612

Policy Prohibiting Retaliation

The University prohibits retaliation against anyone for reporting discrimination/harassment, assisting in making a discrimination/harassment complaint, or cooperating in a discrimination/harassment investigation. Retaliation can include any disparaging comments, uncivil behavior or any other negative treatment of a faculty member, employee or student by other students, faculty, employees or members of the University that result from the individual's making a discrimination/harassment complaint or cooperating in an investigation. Any student, faculty or employee who believes he/she has experienced or witnessed retaliation should immediately notify the Dean of Student Affairs (students), at the address/phone number indicated on the previous page, the Vice President of Academic Affairs (faculty), or his/her designee, at the address/phone number indicated above, or the Vice President of Human

Resources and Organizational Development (employees), or his/her designee, at the address/phone number indicated above.

Investigation of All Reports of Discrimination/Harassment and Potential Consequences

All reports of discrimination, harassment or inappropriate conduct will be promptly and thoroughly investigated. The University will act to ensure that any improper conduct ceases immediately and corrective action is taken to prevent a recurrence. Any student, faculty, employee (whether supervisory, non-supervisory or a member of management), or third party who violates this policy will be subject to the full range of corrective action up to and including suspension/dismissal or termination of employment. The University will inform the complaining student, faculty member or employee of the resolution of the complaint as appropriate.

All complaints will be treated confidentially to the extent practical for an effective resolution. No individual will suffer adverse employment or educational consequences as a result of making a good-faith complaint or taking part in the investigation of a complaint. An individual who knowingly alleges a false claim against another will be subject to the full range of corrective action, up to and including suspension/dismissal or termination of employment from the University.

PROCEDURES FOR ALLEGATIONS OF DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT

Reports of Alleged Discrimination

All students and employees are encouraged to report alleged discrimination based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status. Students and employees may make a report of alleged discrimination or harassment to the following:

Student Reports: The Dean of Student Affairs, or his/her designee, at the address previously indicated.

Faculty Reports: The Vice President of Academic Affairs or his/her designee, at the address previously indicated.

Employee Reports: The Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development, or his/her designee, at the address previously indicated.

Students, faculty and employees may submit reports of harassment or discrimination orally or in writing. The University strongly encourages written reports to minimize chances of miscommunication and to allow a more thorough investigation of complaints. Incident Report forms may be located on the University website, the office of the academic dean, the Health Center, the Office of Student Affairs and the Human Resources Office.

Informal Resolution (optional)

Any student, faculty member or employee who has a question, concern or complaint of discrimination, including harassment based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status is encouraged to bring the matter to the immediate

attention of the Dean of Student Affairs, or his/her designee (in complaints by students), at the address/phone number previously indicated, Vice President of Academic Affairs, or his/her designee (in complaints by faculty), at the address/phone number previously indicated, and the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development, or his/her designee (for complaints by employees), at the address/phone number previously indicated.

It may be possible to resolve a complaint against a student through a voluntary conversation between the parties involved and the dean of student affairs or his/her designee. However, a complaint against a University employee, including a faculty member, will be handled through a formal investigation.

In order to initiate an informal resolution, the complaining party must notify the dean of student affairs or his/her designee and submit a report of the incident either orally or by filling out a discrimination/harassment report form, available at the Office of Student Affairs, the office of the Vice President of Academic Affairs, the Health Center and the Human Resources Office.

If the complaining party and the alleged harasser feel that a resolution has been achieved through the informal process, then the conversation may remain confidential and no further action needs to be taken. The results of an informal resolution shall be documented by the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee in writing.

If the complaining party, the alleged harasser or the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee believes that the informal procedure is inadequate or has been unsuccessful, the University may proceed with a formal investigation.

Formal Resolution

I. Inquiries, Concerns, and Reports of Discrimination and Harassment

Any student, faculty or employee who has a question, concern, or complaint of discrimination, including harassment based on race, color, gender, religion, age, class, ethnic or national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, disability, pregnancy, institutional status, military status, or any other legally protected status is encouraged to bring the matter to the appropriate resource as previously outlined.

II. Initiating a Complaint of Discrimination or Harassment

Although the University investigates all complaints of discrimination or harassment, the University strongly encourages a complaining person to submit a written account of the incident. The faculty member, employee or student may submit reports of harassment, discrimination or inappropriate conduct orally or in writing. The complaining person may fill out an Incident Report form or other similar report. Incident Report forms are available in the office of the Academic Dean, the Health Center, HR Office and the Office of Student Affairs. Complaints by students should be submitted to the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee, at the address/phone number previously indicated. Complaints by faculty should be submitted to the Dean, or his/her designee, at the address/phone number previously indicated. Complaints by employees should be submitted to the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development or his/her designee, who may be contacted at the address/phone number previously indicated.

III. Confidentiality and Non-Retaliation Policies

All complaints will be treated confidentially to the extent practicable for an effective resolution. No individual will suffer adverse employment or educational consequences as a result of making a good-faith complaint or taking part in the investigation of a complaint. An individual who knowingly alleges a false claim against another will be subject to the full range of corrective action, up to and including termination or dismissal/ suspension from the University.

The University prohibits retaliation against anyone for reporting discrimination/harassment, assisting in making a discrimination/harassment complaint, or cooperating in a discrimination/ harassment investigation. Retaliation can include any disparaging comments, uncivil behavior or any other negative treatment of an employee or student by other employees, students, or members of the University that result from the individual's making a harassment complaint or cooperating in a harassment investigation. Any student, faculty or employee who believes he/she has experienced or witnessed retaliation should immediately notify the Dean of Student Affairs, or his/her designee, at the address/phone number previously indicated, the Vice President of Academic Affairs, or his/ her designee, at the address/phone number previously indicated, or the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development or his/her designee, at the address/phone number previously indicated.

IV. Investigations of Alleged Discrimination or Harassment

Complaints against Students

All complaints against students will be conducted pursuant to the Student Misconduct Reporting Procedure.

Complaints against Faculty

The Vice President of Academic Affairs shall be responsible for initiating investigations of complaints against faculty. If an incident involves allegations about two or more faculty members, the University has the discretion to investigate and decide those matters jointly or separately.

During the investigation, the complaining party and the accused party shall have the right to be personally interviewed by the investigator and to refer the investigator to persons having knowledge of the incident and evidence.

Following the investigation, a report regarding the alleged discrimination/harassment will be submitted to the Vice President of Academic Affairs or his/her designee, who will make a decision regarding the disposition of the matter.

The complaining party and the accused party will be notified in writing regarding the outcome of the investigation and the decision of the Vice President of Academic Affairs. The decision of the Vice President of Academic Affairs shall be final.

Complaints against Employees

The Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development shall be responsible for initiating investigations of complaints against employees. If an incident involves allegations about two or more employees, the University has the discretion to investigate and decide those matters jointly or separately.

During the investigation, the complaining party and the accused party shall have the right to be personally interviewed by the investigator and to refer the investigator to persons having knowledge of the incident and evidence.

Following the investigation, a report regarding the alleged discrimination/harassment will be created which will include a description of the disposition of the matter.

The complaining party and the accused party will be notified in writing regarding the outcome of the investigation. The decision of the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development shall be final.

V. Consequences for Violation of Non-discrimination/Harassment Policies

The University will act to ensure that any improper conduct ceases immediately and corrective action is taken to prevent a recurrence. Any student, faculty member, employee (whether supervisory, non-supervisory or a member of management), or third party who violates this policy will be subject to the full range of corrective action, up to and including termination of employment or dismissal/suspension from the University.

VI. External Grievance Procedure

Persons wishing to pursue their grievance externally may contact the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education, in Washington, D.C. This policy applies in all matters, including admission and education of students, availability of student loans, grants, scholarships, and job opportunities, employment and promotion of teaching and non-teaching personnel, and students and faculty housing situated on premises that is owned or occupied by the University.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICY

Policy Statement

Consistent with its Statement of Non-Discrimination and the U.S. Department of Education's implementing regulations for Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 ("Title IX") (*see* 34 C.F.R. § 106 *et seq.*), Cleveland University-Kansas City ("the University") prohibits Sexual Harassment that occurs within its education programs and activities.

As further defined herein, Sexual Harassment includes Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment, Hostile Environment Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Stalking.

Administrators, faculty members, staff, students, contractors, guests, and other members of the University community who commit Sexual Harassment are subject to the full range of University discipline including verbal reprimand; written reprimand; mandatory training, coaching, or counseling; mandatory monitoring; partial or full probation; partial or full suspension; permanent separation from the institution (i.e., termination or dismissal); physical restriction from University property; cancellation of contracts; and any combination of the same.

The University will provide persons who have experienced Sexual Harassment ongoing remedies as reasonably necessary to restore or preserve access to the University's education programs and activities.

Scope

This policy applies to Sexual Harassment that occurs within the University's Education Programs and Activities and that is committed by an administrator, faculty member, staff, student, contractor, guest, or other member of the University community.

This policy does not apply to Sexual Harassment that occurs off-campus, in a private setting, and outside the scope of the University's Education Programs and Activities; such Sexual Misconduct may be prohibited by University's Non-Discrimination/Anti-Harassment Policy.

Consistent with the U.S. Department of Education's implementing regulations for Title IX, this policy does not apply to Sexual Harassment that occurs outside the geographic boundaries of the United States, even if the Sexual Harassment occurs in the University's Education Programs and Activities, such as a study abroad program. Sexual Harassment that occurs outside the geographic boundaries of the United States is governed by the University's Non-Discrimination/Anti-Harassment Policy.

Definitions

"Sexual Harassment" is conduct on the basis of sex that constitutes Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment, Hostile Environment Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault, Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, or Stalking.

"Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment" is an employee of the University conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the University on an individual's participation in unwelcome sexual contact.

“Hostile Environment Sexual Harassment” is unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person access to the University’s education programs and activities.

“Sexual Assault” includes the sex offenses of Rape, Sodomy, Sexual Assault with an Object, Fondling, Incest, and Statutory Rape.

“Rape” is the carnal knowledge of a person, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity. There is “carnal knowledge” if there is the slightest penetration of the vagina or anus by the sex organ of the other person. Attempted Rape is included.

“Sodomy” is oral or anal sexual intercourse with another person, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

“Sexual Assault with an Object” is using an object or instrument to unlawfully penetrate, however slightly, the genital or anal opening of the body of another person, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity. An “object” or “instrument” is anything used by the offender other than the offender’s genitalia.

“Fondling” is the touching of the private body parts of another person for the purpose of sexual gratification, without the consent of the victim, including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent because of his/her age or because of his/her temporary or permanent mental or physical incapacity.

“Incest” is sexual intercourse between persons who are related to each other within the degrees wherein marriage is prohibited by Kansas law.

“Statutory Rape” is sexual intercourse with a person who is under the statutory age of consent as defined by Kansas law.

“Domestic Violence” is felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of Kansas, or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person’s acts under the domestic or family violence laws of Kansas.

“Dating Violence” is violence committed by a person –

Who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim; and

Where the existence of such a relationship will be determined based on a consideration of the following factors:

- The length of the relationship;
- The type of relationship; and
- The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.

“Stalking” is engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to:

- Fear for their safety or the safety of others; or
- Suffer substantial emotional distress.

“Consent” refers to words or actions that a reasonable person in the perspective of the Respondent would understand as agreement to engage in the sexual conduct at issue. A person who is Incapacitated is not capable of giving Consent.

“Incapacitated” refers to the state where a person does not appreciate the nature or fact of sexual activity due to the effect of drugs or alcohol consumption, medical condition or disability, or due to a state of unconsciousness or sleep.

“Retaliation” is intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX and its implementing regulations or because an individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing under this policy.

“Complainant” means an individual who is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute Sexual Harassment.

“Respondent” means an individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute Sexual Harassment.

“Formal Complaint” means a document filed by a Complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator alleging Sexual Harassment against a Respondent and requesting that the University investigate the allegation of Sexual Harassment in accordance with this policy. At the time of filing a Formal Complaint, a Complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the University’s education programs and activities. A “document filed by a Complainant” means a document or electronic submission (such as an email) that contains the Complainant’s physical or electronic signature or otherwise indicates that the Complainant is the person filing the Complaint.

“Supportive Measures” are non-disciplinary, non-punitive individualized services offered, as appropriate, and reasonably available, and without fee or charge, that are designed to restore or preserve equal access to the University’s Education Programs and Activities

without unreasonably burdening another party, including measures designed to protect the safety of all parties implicated by a report or the University's education environment, or to deter Sexual Harassment. Supportive measures may include: counseling, extensions of academic or other deadlines, course-related adjustments, modifications to work or class schedules, campus escort services, changes in work or housing locations, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of campus, and other similar measures. Supportive Measures may also include mutual restrictions on contact between the parties implicated by a report.

"Education Programs and Activities" refers to all the operations of the University, including, but not limited to, in-person and online educational instruction, employment, research activities, extracurricular activities, athletics, residence life, dining services, performances, and community engagement and outreach programs. The term applies to all activity that occurs on campus or on other property owned or occupied by the University. It also includes off-campus locations, events, or circumstances over which the University exercises substantial control over the Respondent and the context in which the Sexual Harassment occurs, including Sexual Harassment occurring in any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by the University.

Reporting Sexual Harassment

Any person may report Sexual Harassment to the Title IX Coordinator. Reports may be made in person, by regular mail, telephone, electronic mail, or by any other means that results in the Title IX Coordinator receiving the person's verbal or written report. In-person reports must be made during normal business hours, but reports can be made by regular mail, telephone, or electronic mail at any time, including outside normal business hours.

The name and contact information for the Title IX Coordinator is:

Dale Marrant
Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0650
Dale.marrant@cleveland.edu

In addition to reporting to the Title IX Coordinator, individuals may report Sexual Harassment to the following employees:

Reports by a Student Regarding a Student can be made to the Dean of Student Affairs or his/her designee:

David Foose
Dean of Student Affairs
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0650

Reports by a Student Regarding a Faculty Member can be made to the Vice President of Academic Affairs or his/her designee:

Dr. Cheryl Carpenter-Davis
Vice President of Academic Affairs
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0600

Reports by a Student Regarding a Non-Faculty Member or Third Party can be made to the Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development, or his/her designee:

Dale Marrant
Vice President of Human Resources and Organizational Development
Cleveland University-Kansas City
10850 Lowell Avenue
Overland Park, Kansas 66210
(913) 234-0612

In addition to reporting to the Title IX Coordinator, any person may report Sexual Harassment to any University employee with managerial authority over other employees, including cabinet members, deans, department heads, unit supervisors, and other managers (collectively "Reporting Officials") who must promptly forward such report of Sexual Harassment to the Title IX Coordinator.

University employees who are not Reporting Officials are encouraged, but are not required to, forward reports of Sexual Misconduct to the Title IX Coordinator.

Preliminary Assessment

Upon receipt of a report made pursuant to Section IV, the Title IX Coordinator will conduct a preliminary assessment to determine:

- Whether the conduct, as reported, falls or could fall within the scope of the policy specified in Section II; and
- Whether the conduct, as reported, constitutes or could constitute Sexual Harassment.

If the Title IX Coordinator determines that the conduct reported could not fall within the scope of the policy, and/or could not constitute Sexual Harassment, even if investigated, the Title Coordinator will close the matter and may notify the reporting party if doing so is consistent with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"). The Title IX Coordinator may refer the report to other University offices, as appropriate.

If the Title IX Coordinator determines that the conduct reported could fall within the scope of the policy, and/or could constitute Sexual Harassment, if investigated, the Title IX Coordinator will proceed to contact the Complainant as specified in Section VI.

As part of the preliminary assessment, the Title IX Coordinator may take investigative steps to determine the identity of the Complainant, if such identity is not apparent from the report.

Contacting The Complainant

If a report is not closed as a result of the preliminary assessment specified in Section V and the Complainant's identity is known, the Title IX Coordinator will promptly contact the Complainant to discuss the availability of Supportive Measures specified in Section VII; to discuss and consider the Complainant's wishes with respect to such Supportive Measures; to inform the Complainant of the availability of such Supportive Measures with or without filing a Formal Complaint; and to explain the process for filing and pursuing a Formal Complaint.

Supportive Measures

If a report is not closed as a result of the preliminary assessment specified in Section V, the University will offer and make available Supportive Measures to the Complainant regardless of whether the Complainant elects to file a Formal Complaint.

Contemporaneously with the Respondent being notified of a Formal Complaint specified in Section XII, the Title IX Coordinator will notify the Respondent of the availability of Supportive Measures for the Respondent, and the University will offer and make available Supportive Measures to the Respondent in the same manner in which it offers and makes them available to the Complainant. The University will also offer and make available Supportive Measures to the Respondent prior to the Respondent being notified of a Formal Complaint, if the Respondent requests such measures.

The University will maintain the pty of Supportive Measures provided to either a Complainant or Respondent, to the extent that maintaining such confidentiality does not impair the University's ability to provide the Supportive Measures in question.

Interim Removal

At any time after receiving a report of Sexual Harassment, the Title IX Coordinator may remove a student Respondent from the University's education programs and activities on an temporary basis if an individualized safety and risk analysis determines that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations of Sexual Harassment justifies removal. In the event the Title IX Coordinator imposes an interim removal, the Title IX Coordinator must offer to meet with the Respondent within twenty-four hours and provide the Respondent an opportunity to challenge the interim removal.

In the case of a Respondent who is a non-student employee (administrator, faculty, or staff), and in its discretion, the University may place the Respondent on administrative leave at any time after receiving a report of Sexual Harassment, including during the pendency of the investigation and adjudication process specified in Sections XIII and XV.

For all other Respondents, including independent contractors and guests, the University retains broad discretion to prohibit such persons from entering onto its campus and other properties at any time, and for any reason, whether after receiving a report of Sexual Harassment or otherwise.

Formal Complaint

A Complainant may file a Formal Complaint with the Title IX Coordinator requesting that the University investigate and adjudicate a report of Sexual Harassment in accordance with the provisions of Sections X and XI. Provided, however, that at the time the Complainant submits a Formal Complaint, the Complainant must be participating in, or attempting to participate in, one or more of the University's education programs or activities.

A Complainant may file a Formal Complaint with the Title IX Coordinator in person, by regular mail, or by email using the contact information specified in Section IV above.

No person may submit a Formal Complaint on the Complainant's behalf.

In any case, including a case where a Complainant elects not to file a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator may file a Formal Complaint on behalf of the University if doing so is not clearly unreasonable.

If the Complainant or the Title IX Coordinator files a Formal Complaint, then the University will commence an investigation as specified in Section X and proceed to adjudicate the matter as specified in Section XI.

In a case where the Title IX Coordinator files a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will not act as a Complainant or otherwise as a party for purposes of the investigation and adjudication processes specified in Sections X and XI.

Consolidation of Formal Complaints

The University may consolidate Formal Complaints as to allegations of Sexual Harassment against more than one Respondent, or by more than one Complainant against one or more Respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of Sexual Harassment arise out of the same facts or circumstances. Where the investigation and adjudication process involve more than one Complainant or more than one Respondent, references in this policy to the singular "party," "Complainant," or "Respondent" include the plural, as applicable. A Formal Complaint of Retaliation may be consolidated with a Formal Complaint of Sexual Harassment as specified in Section XXX.

Dismissal Prior to Commencement of Investigation

In a case where the Complainant files a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will evaluate the Formal Complaint and must dismiss it if the Title IX Coordinator determines:

- The conduct alleged in the Formal Complaint would not constitute Sexual Harassment, even if proved; or
- The conduct alleged in the Formal Complaint falls outside the scope of the policy specified in Section II (i.e., because the alleged conduct did not occur in the University's Education Programs and Activities and/or the alleged conduct occurred outside the geographic boundaries of the United States).

In the event the Title IX Coordinator determines the Formal Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to this Section XI, the Title IX Coordinator will provide written notice of dismissal to the parties and advise them of their right to appeal as specified in Section XVII. The Title IX Coordinator may refer the subject

matter of the Formal Complaint to other University offices, as appropriate. A dismissal pursuant to this Section XI is presumptively a final determination for purposes of this policy, unless otherwise specified in writing by the Title IX Coordinator in the written notice of dismissal.

Notice of Formal Complaint

Within five (5) days of the Title IX Coordinator receiving a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator will transmit a written notice to the Complainant and Respondent that includes:

- A physical copy of this policy or a hyperlink to this policy;
- Sufficient details known at the time so that the parties may prepare for an initial interview with the investigator, to include the identities of the parties involved in the incident (if known), the conduct allegedly constituting Sexual Harassment, and the date and location of the alleged incident (if known);
- A statement that the Respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged Sexual Harassment and that a determination of responsibility will not be made until the conclusion of the adjudication and any appeal;
- Notifying the Complainant and Respondent of their right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice, as specified in Section XVIII.
- Notifying the Complainant and Respondent of their right to inspect and review evidence as specified in Section XIII.D.
- Notifying the Complainant and Respondent of the University's prohibitions on retaliation and false statements specified in Sections XXIX and XXX.

Should the University elect, at any point, to investigate allegations that are materially beyond the scope of the initial written notice, the University will provide a supplemental written notice describing the additional allegations to be investigated.

Investigation

Commencement and Timing

After the written notice of Formal Complaint is transmitted to the parties, an investigator selected by the Title IX Coordinator will undertake an investigation to gather evidence relevant to the alleged misconduct, including inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. The burden of gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination in the adjudication lies with the University and not with the parties. The investigation will culminate in a written investigation report, specified in Section XIII.E, that will be submitted to the adjudicator during the selected adjudication process. Although the length of each investigation may vary depending on the totality of the circumstances, the University strives to complete each investigation within thirty (30) to forty-five (45) days of the transmittal of the written notice as specified in this Section XIII.A.

Equal Opportunity

During the investigation, the investigator will provide an equal opportunity for the parties to be interviewed, to present witnesses (including fact and expert witnesses), and to present other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the investigator retains discretion to limit the number of witness interviews the investigator conducts if the investigator finds that testimony would be unreasonably cumulative, if the witnesses are offered solely as character references, or if the witnesses are offered to render testimony that is categorically inadmissible, such as testimony concerning sexual history of the Complainant, as specified in Section XX. The investigator will not restrict the ability of the parties to gather and present relevant evidence on their own.

The investigation is a party's opportunity to present testimonial and other evidence that the party believes is relevant to resolution of the allegations in the Formal Complaint. A party that is aware of and has a reasonable opportunity to present particular evidence and/or identify particular witnesses during the investigation, and elects not to, will be prohibited from introducing any such evidence during the adjudication absent a showing of mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect.

Documentation of Investigation

The investigator will take reasonable steps to ensure the investigation is documented. Interviews of the parties and witnesses may be documented by the investigator's notes, audio recorded, video recorded, or transcribed. The particular method utilized to record the interviews of parties and witnesses will be determined by the investigator in the investigator's sole discretion, although whatever method is chosen shall be used consistently throughout a particular investigation.

Access to the Evidence

During the Evidence-Gathering Phase of the Investigation

During an investigation, a party has the reasonable opportunity, upon the party's request, to conduct an in-person inspection and review of evidence obtained up to that point that is directly related to the allegations raised in the Formal Complaint, including evidence the University may choose not to rely on at any hearing and inculpatory or exculpatory evidence whether obtained from a party or some other source. A request to inspect and review evidence should be made in writing to the investigator a reasonable amount of time before the party seeks to conduct the inspection and review. The investigator will make a reasonable attempt to accommodate the request but may deny any request that is untimely, that conflicts with the investigator's availability, that is cumulative, or that is otherwise unreasonable.

Prior To Issuance of the Investigation Report

At the conclusion of the evidence-gathering phase of the investigation, but prior to the completion of the investigation report, the investigator will transmit to each party and their advisor, in either electronic or hard copy form, the evidence subject to inspection and review in Section XIII.D.1. Thereafter, the parties will have ten (10) days in which to submit to the investigator a written response, which the investigator will consider prior to completing the investigation report.

Investigation Report

After the period for the parties to provide any written response as specified in Section XIII.D.2 has expired, the investigator will complete a written investigation report that fairly summarizes the various steps taken during the investigation, summarizes the relevant evidence collected, lists material facts on which the parties agree, and lists material facts on which the parties do not agree. When the investigation report is complete, the investigator will transmit a copy to the Title IX Coordinator. The investigator will also transmit the investigation report to each party and their advisor, in either electronic or hard copy form.

Adjudication Process Selection

After the investigator has sent the investigation report to the parties, the Title IX Coordinator will transmit to each party a notice advising the party of the two different adjudication processes specified in Section XV. The notice will explain that the hearing process specified in Section XV.A is the default process for adjudicating all Formal Complaints and will be utilized unless both parties voluntarily consent to administrative adjudication as specified in Section XV.B as a form of informal resolution. The notice will be accompanied by a written consent to administrative adjudication and will advise each party that, if both parties execute the written consent to administrative adjudication, then the administrative adjudication process will be used in lieu of the hearing process. Parties are urged to carefully review this policy (including the entirety of Section XV), consult with their advisor, and consult with other persons as they deem appropriate (including an attorney) prior to consenting to administrative adjudication.

Each party will have three (3) days from transmittal of the notice specified in this Section XIV to return the signed written consent form to the Title IX Coordinator. If either party does not timely return the signed written consent, that party will be deemed not to have consented to administrative adjudication and the Formal Complaint will be adjudicated pursuant to the hearing process.

Once the form of adjudication is selected, either by or by both parties timely consenting to administrative adjudication, the selection is final and will not be altered.

Adjudication

Hearing Process

The default process for adjudicating Formal Complaints is the hearing process specified in this Section XV.A. The hearing process will be used to adjudicate all Formal Complaints unless both parties timely consent to administrative adjudication as specified in Section XIV above.

Hearing Officer

After selection of the hearing process as the form of administrative adjudication, the Title IX Coordinator will promptly appoint a hearing officer who will oversee the hearing process and render a determination of responsibility for the allegations in the Formal Complaint, at the conclusion of the hearing process. The Title IX Coordinator will see that the hearing officer is provided a copy of the investigation report and a copy of all evidence transmitted to the parties by the investigator as specified in Section XIII.D.2.

Hearing Notice and Response to the Investigation Report

After the hearing officer is appointed by the Title IX Coordinator, the hearing officer will promptly transmit written notice to the parties notifying the parties of the hearing officer's appointment; setting a deadline for the parties to submit any written response to the investigation report; setting a date for the pre-hearing conference; setting a date and time for the hearing; and providing a copy of the University's Hearing Procedures. Neither the pre-hearing conference, nor the hearing itself, may be held any earlier than ten (10) days from the date of transmittal of the written notice specified in this Section XV.A.2.

A party's written response to the investigation report must include:

- To the extent the party disagrees with the investigation report, any argument or commentary regarding such disagreement;
- Any argument that evidence should be categorically excluded from consideration at the hearing based on privilege, relevancy, undue prejudice, the prohibition on the use of sexual history specified in Section XX, or for any other reason;
- A list of any witnesses that the party contends should be compelled to attend the hearing pursuant to an attendance notice issued by the hearing officer;
- A list of any witnesses that the party intends to bring to the hearing without an attendance notice issued by the hearing officer;
- Any objection that the party has to the University's Hearing Procedures;
- Any request that the parties be separated physically during the pre-hearing conference and/or hearing;
- Any other accommodations that the party seeks with respect to the pre-hearing conference and/or hearing;
- The name and contact information of the advisor who will accompany the party at the pre-hearing conference and hearing;
- If the party does not have an advisor who will accompany the party at the hearing, a request that the University provide an advisor for purposes of conducting questioning as specified in Section XVIII.

A party's written response to the investigation report may also include:

- Argument regarding whether any of the allegations in the Formal Complaint are supported by a preponderance of the evidence; and
- Argument regarding whether any of the allegations in the Formal Complaint constitute Sexual Harassment.

Pre-Hearing Conference

Prior to the hearing, the hearing officer will conduct a pre-hearing conference with the parties and their advisors. The pre-hearing conference will be conducted live, with simultaneous and contemporaneous participation by the parties and their advisors. By default, the pre-hearing conference will be conducted with the hearing officer, the parties, the advisors, and other necessary University personnel together in the same physical location. However, upon request of either party, the parties will be separated into different rooms with technology enabling the parties to participate simultaneously and contemporaneously by video and audio.

In the hearing officer's discretion, the pre-hearing conference may be conducted virtually, by use of video and audio technology, where all participants participate simultaneously and contemporaneously by use of such technology.

During the pre-hearing conference, the hearing officer will discuss the hearing procedures with the parties; address matters raised in the parties' written responses to the investigation report, as the hearing officer deems appropriate; discuss whether any stipulations may be made to expedite the hearing; discuss the witnesses the parties have requested be served with notices of attendance and/or witnesses the parties plan to bring to the hearing without a notice of attendance; and resolve any other matters that the hearing officer determines, in the hearing officer's discretion, should be resolved before the hearing.

Issuance of Notices of Attendance

After the pre-hearing conference, the hearing officer will transmit notices of attendance to any University employee (including administrator, faculty, or staff) or student whose attendance is required at the hearing as a witness. The notice will advise the subject of their duty to appear for the hearing at the specified date and time and advise the subject to contact the hearing officer immediately if there is a material and unavoidable conflict.

The subject of an attendance notice should notify any manager, faculty member, coach, or other supervisor, as necessary, if attendance at the hearing will conflict with job duties, classes, or other obligations. All such managers, faculty members, coaches, and other supervisors are required to excuse the subject of the obligation, or provide some other accommodation, so that the subject may attend the hearing as specified in the notice.

The failure to abide by a notice of attendance is a violation of this policy.

The University has no authority to compel the attendance of any witness who is not an employee or a student, and a notice of attendance will not be issued to any such individual.

Hearing

After the pre-hearing conference, the hearing officer will convene and conduct a hearing pursuant to the University's Hearing Procedures. The hearing will be audio recorded. The audio recording will be made available to the parties for inspection and review on reasonable notice, including for use in preparing any subsequent appeal.

The hearing will be conducted live, with simultaneous and contemporaneous participation by the parties and their advisors. By default, the hearing will be conducted with the hearing officer, the parties, the

advisors, witnesses, and other necessary University personnel together in the same physical location. However, upon request of either party, the parties will be separated into different rooms with technology enabling the parties to participate simultaneously and contemporaneously by video and audio.

In the hearing officer's discretion, the hearing may be conducted virtually, by use of video and audio technology, where all participants participate simultaneously and contemporaneously by use of such technology.

While the Hearing Procedures and rulings from the hearing officer will govern the particulars of the hearing, each hearing will include, at a minimum:

- Opportunity for each party to address the hearing officer directly and to respond to questions posed by the hearing officer;
- Opportunity for each party's advisor to ask directly, orally, and in real time, relevant questions, and follow up questions, of the other party and any witnesses, including questions that support or challenge credibility;
- Opportunity for each party to raise contemporaneous objections to testimonial or non-testimonial evidence and to have such objections ruled on by the hearing officer and a reason for the ruling provided;
- Opportunity for each party to submit evidence that the party did not present during the investigation due to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect;
- Opportunity for each party to make a brief closing argument.

Except as otherwise permitted by the hearing officer, the hearing will be closed to all persons except the parties, their advisors, the investigator, the hearing officer, the Title IX Coordinator, and other necessary University personnel. With the exception of the investigator and the parties, witnesses will be sequestered until such time as their testimony is complete.

During the hearing, the parties and their advisors will have access to the investigation report and evidence that was transmitted to them pursuant to Section XIII.D.2.

While a party has the right to attend and participate in the hearing with an advisor, a party and/or advisor who materially and repeatedly violates the rules of the hearing in such a way as to be materially disruptive, may be barred from further participation and/or have their participation limited, as the case may be, in the discretion of the hearing officer.

Subject to the minimum requirements specified in this Section XV.A.5, the hearing officer will have sole discretion to determine the manner and particulars of any given hearing, including with respect to the length of the hearing, the order of the hearing, and questions of admissibility. The hearing officer will independently and contemporaneously screen questions for relevance in addition to resolving any contemporaneous objections raised by the parties and will explain the rationale for any evidentiary rulings.

The hearing officer will have discretion to modify the Hearing Procedures, when good cause exists to do so, and provided the minimal requirements specified in this Section XV.A.5 are met.

The hearing is not a formal judicial proceeding and strict rules of evidence do not apply. Nonetheless, in conducting the hearing and resolving evidentiary issues, the hearing officer may, in the hearing officer's discretion, utilize principles and procedures similar to those specified in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Federal Rules of Evidence to the extent such principles and procedures do not conflict with any explicit provision of this policy.

Subjection To Questioning

In the event that any party or witness refuses to attend the hearing, or attends but refuses to submit to questioning by the parties' advisors, the statements of that party or witness, as the case may be, whether given during the investigation or during the hearing, will not be considered by the hearing officer in reaching a determination of responsibility.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the hearing officer may consider the testimony of any party or witness, whether given during the investigation or during the hearing, if the parties jointly stipulate that the testimony may be considered or in the case where neither party requested attendance of the witness at the hearing.

In applying this Section XV.A.6, the hearing officer will not draw an inference about the determination regarding responsibility based solely on a party or a witness's absence from the live hearing and/or refusal to submit to questioning by the parties' advisors.

Deliberation and Determination

After the hearing is complete, the hearing officer will objectively evaluate all relevant evidence collected during the investigation, including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence, together with testimony and non-testimony evidence received at the hearing, and ensure that any credibility determinations made are not based on a person's status as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness. The hearing officer will take care to exclude from consideration any evidence that was ruled inadmissible at the pre-hearing conference, during the hearing, or by operation of Section XV.A.6. The hearing officer will resolve disputed facts using a preponderance of the evidence (i.e., "more likely than not") standard and reach a determination regarding whether the facts that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence constitute one or more violations of the policy as alleged in the Formal Complaint.

Discipline and Remedies

In the event the hearing officer determines that the Respondent is responsible for violating this policy, the hearing officer will, prior to issuing a written decision, consult with an appropriate University official with disciplinary authority over the Respondent and such official will determine any discipline to be imposed. The hearing officer will also, prior to issuing a written decision, consult with the Title IX Coordinator who will determine whether and to what extent ongoing support measures or other remedies will be provided to the Complainant.

Written Decision

After reaching a determination and consulting with the appropriate University official and Title IX Coordinator as required by Section XIV.A.8, the hearing officer will prepare a written decision that will include:

- Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Sexual Harassment made in the Formal Complaint;
- A description of the procedural steps taken by the University upon receipt of the Formal Complaint, through issuance of the written decision, including notification to the parties, interviews with the parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather non-testimonial evidence, and the date, location, and people who were present at or presented testimony at the hearing.
- Articulate findings of fact, made under a preponderance of the evidence standard, that support the determination;
- A statement of, and rationale for, each allegation that constitutes a separate potential incident of Sexual Harassment, including a determination regarding responsibility for each separate potential incident;
- The discipline determined by the appropriate University official as referenced in Section XIV.A.8 and any ongoing support measures or other remedies as determined by the Title IX Coordinator;
- A description of the University's process and grounds for appeal, as specified in Section XVII.

The hearing officer's written determination will be transmitted to the parties. Transmittal of the written determination to the parties concludes the hearing process, subject to any right of appeal as specified in Section XVII.

Although the length of each adjudication by hearing will vary depending on the totality of the circumstances, the University strives to issue the hearing officer's written determination within fourteen (14) days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Administrative Adjudication (Optional)

In lieu of the hearing process, the parties may consent to have a Formal Complaint resolved by administrative adjudication as a form of informal resolution. Administrative adjudication is voluntary and must be consented to in writing by both parties and approved by the Title IX Coordinator as specified in Section XIV.

If administrative adjudication is selected, the Title IX Coordinator will appoint an administrative officer. The Title IX Coordinator will see that the administrative adjudicator is provided a copy of the investigation report and a copy of all the evidence transmitted to the parties by the investigator as specified in Section XIII.D.2

The administrative officer will promptly send written notice to the parties notifying the parties of the administrative officer's appointment; setting a deadline for the parties to submit any written response to the investigation report; and setting a date and time for each party to meet with the administrative officer separately. The administrative officer's meetings with the parties will not be held any earlier than ten (10) days from the date of transmittal of the written notice specified in this paragraph.

A party's written response to the investigation report must include:

- To the extent the party disagrees with the investigation report, any argument or commentary regarding such disagreement;
- Any argument that a particular piece or class of evidence should be categorically excluded from consideration at the hearing based on privilege, relevancy, undue prejudice, the prohibition on the use of sexual history specified in Section XX, or for any other reason;
- Argument regarding whether any of the allegations in the Formal Complaint are supported by a preponderance of the evidence;
- Argument regarding whether any of the allegations in the Formal Complaint constitute Sexual Harassment.

After reviewing the parties' written responses, the administrative officer will meet separately with each party to provide the party with an opportunity make any oral argument or commentary the party wishes to make and for the administrative officer to ask questions concerning the party's written response, the investigative report, and/or the evidence collected during the investigation.

After meeting with each party, the administrative officer will objectively reevaluate all relevant evidence, including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence and ensure that any credibility determinations made are not based on a person's status as a Complainant, Respondent, or witness. The administrative officer will take care to exclude from consideration any evidence that the administrative officer determines should be ruled inadmissible based on the objections and arguments raised by the parties in their respective written responses to the investigation report. The administrative officer will resolve disputed facts using a preponderance of the evidence (i.e., "more likely than not") standard and reach a determination regarding whether the facts that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence constitute one or more violations of the policy as alleged in the Formal Complaint.

Thereafter, the administrative officer will consult with any University official and the Title IX Coordinator, in the manner specified in Section XV.A.7 and will prepare and transmit a written decision in the manner as specified in Section XV.A.8 which shall serve as a resolution for purposes of informal resolution.

Transmittal of the administrative officer's written determination concludes the administrative adjudication, subject to any right of appeal as specified in Section XVII.

Although the length of each administrative adjudication will vary depending on the totality of the circumstances, the University strives to issue the administrative officer's written determination within twenty-one (21) days of the transmittal of the initiating written notice specified in this Section XV.B.

Dismissal During Investigation or Adjudication

The University may dismiss a Formal Complaint at any point during the investigation or adjudication process if the Title IX Coordinator determines that any one or more of the following is true:

- The Complainant provides the Title IX Coordinator written notice that the Complainant wishes to withdraw the Formal Complaint or any discrete allegations therein (in which case those discrete allegations may be dismissed);

- The Respondent is no longer enrolled or employed by the University, as the case may be; or
- Specific circumstances prevent the University from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination as to the Formal Complaint, or any discrete allegations therein (in which case those discrete allegations may be dismissed).

In the event the Title IX Coordinator determines that a Formal Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to this Section XVI, the Title IX Coordinator will provide written notice of dismissal to the parties and advise them of their right to appeal as specified in Section XVII. The Title IX Coordinator may refer the subject matter of the Formal Complaint to other University offices, as appropriate. A dismissal pursuant to this Section XVI is presumptively a final determination as it pertains to this policy, unless otherwise specified in writing by the Title IX Coordinator in the written notice of dismissal.

Appeal

Either party may appeal the determination of an adjudication, or a dismissal of a Formal Complaint, on one or more of the following grounds:

- A procedural irregularity affected the outcome;
- There is new evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination or dismissal was made, that could have affected the outcome;
- The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing officer, or administrative officer, as the case may be, had a conflict of interest or bias for or against complainants or respondents generally, or against the individual Complainant or Respondent, that affected the outcome.

No other grounds for appeal are permitted.

A party must file an appeal within seven (7) days of the date they receive notice of dismissal or determination appealed from or, if the other party appeals, within three (3) days of the other party appealing, whichever is later. The appeal must be submitted in writing to the Chief Operating Officer, who serves as the appeal officer. The appeal must specifically identify the determination and/or dismissal appealed from, articulate which one or more of the three grounds for appeal are being asserted, explain in detail why the appealing party believes the appeal should be granted, and articulate what specific relief the appealing party seeks.

Promptly upon receipt of an appeal, the appeal officer will conduct an initial evaluation to confirm that the appeal is timely filed and that it invokes at least one of the permitted grounds for appeal. If the appeal officer determines that the appeal is not timely, or that it fails to invoke a permitted ground for appeal, the appeal officer will dismiss the appeal and provide written notice of the same to the parties.

If the appeal officer confirms that the appeal is timely and invokes at least one permitted ground for appeal, the appeal officer will provide written notice to the other party that an appeal has been filed and that the other party may submit a written opposition to the appeal within seven (7) days. The appeal officer shall also promptly obtain from the Title IX Coordinator any records from the investigation and adjudication necessary to resolve the grounds raised in the appeal.

Errors claimed in any appeal that could have been raised during the adjudication, but that were not, may be deemed waived by the appeal officer. Similarly, errors that are summarily asserted in any appeal without explanation or argument may be deemed waived by the appeal officer.

Upon receipt of any opposition, or after the time period for submission of an opposition has passed without one being filed, the appeal officer will promptly decide the appeal and transmit a written decision to the parties that explains the outcome of the appeal and the rationale.

The determination of a Formal Complaint, including any discipline, becomes final when the time for appeal has passed with no party filing an appeal or, if any appeal is filed, at the point when the appeal officer has resolved all appeals, either by dismissal or by transmittal of a written decision.

No further review beyond the appeal is permitted.

Although the length of each appeal will vary depending on the totality of the circumstances, the University strives to issue the appeal officer's written decision within (21) days of an appeal being filed.

Advisor of Choice

From the point a Formal Complaint is made, and until an investigation, adjudication, and appeal are complete, the Complainant and Respondent will have the right to be accompanied by an advisor of their choice to all meetings, interviews, and hearings that are part of the investigation, adjudication, and appeal process. The advisor may be, but is not required to be, an attorney.

Except for the questioning of witnesses during the hearing specified in Section XV.A.5, the advisor will play a passive role and is not permitted to communicate on behalf of a party, insist that communication flow through the advisor, or communicate with the University about the matter without the party being included in the communication. In the event a party's advisor of choice engages in material violation of the parameters specified in this Section XVIII and Section XV.A.5, the University may preclude the advisor from further participation, in which case the party may select a new advisor of their choice.

In the event a party is not able to secure an advisor to attend the hearing specified in Section XV.A.5, and requests the University to provide an advisor, the University will provide the party an advisor, without fee or charge, who will conduct questioning on behalf of the party at the hearing. The University will have sole discretion to select the advisor it provides. The advisor the University provides may be, but is not required to be, an attorney.

The University is not required to provide a party with an advisor in any circumstance except where the party does not have an advisor present at the hearing specified in Section XV.A.5 and requests that the University provide an advisor.

Treatment Records and Other Privileged Information

During the investigation and adjudication processes, the investigator and adjudicator, as the case may be, are not permitted to access, consider, disclose, permit questioning concerning, or otherwise use:

- A party's records that are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional or

paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party; or

- Information or records protected from disclosure by any other legally-recognized privilege, such as the attorney client privilege;

Unless the University has obtained the party's voluntary, written consent to do so for the purposes of the investigation and adjudication process.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the investigator and/or adjudicator, as the case may be, may consider any such records or information otherwise covered by this Section XIX if the party holding the privilege affirmatively discloses the records or information to support their allegation or defense, as the case may be.

Sexual History

During the investigation and adjudication processes, questioning regarding a Complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions and evidence about the Complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the Respondent committed the conduct alleged, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the Complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the Respondent and are offered to prove consent. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Complainant who affirmatively uses information otherwise considered irrelevant by this Section XX for the purpose of supporting the Complainant's allegations, may be deemed to have waived the protections of this Section XX.

Informal Resolution

At any time after the parties are provided written notice of the Formal Complaint as specified in Section XII, and before the completion of any appeal specified in Section XVII, the parties may voluntarily consent, with the Title IX Coordinator's approval, to engage in mediation, facilitated resolution, or other form of dispute resolution the goal of which is to enter into a final resolution resolving the allegations raised in the Formal Complaint by agreement of the parties. Administrative Adjudication as specified in Section XV.B is a form of informal resolution.

The specific manner of any informal resolution process will be determined by the parties and the Title IX Coordinator, in consultation together. Prior to commencing the informal resolution process agreed upon, the Title IX Coordinator will transmit a written notice to the parties that:

- Describes the parameters and requirements of the informal resolution process to be utilized;
- Identifies the individual responsible for facilitating the informal resolution (who may be the Title IX Coordinator, another University official, or a suitable third-party);
- Explains the effect of participating in informal resolution and/or reaching a final resolution will have on a party's ability to resume the investigation and adjudication of the allegations at issue in the Formal Complaint; and

- Explains any other consequence resulting from participation in the informal resolution process, including a description of records that will be generated, maintained, and/or shared.

After receiving the written notice specified in this paragraph, each party must voluntarily provide written consent to the Title IX Coordinator, before the informal resolution may commence.

During the pendency of the informal resolution process, the investigation and adjudication processes that would otherwise occur are stayed and all related deadlines are suspended.

If the parties reach a resolution through the informal resolution process, and the Title IX Coordinator agrees that the resolution is not clearly unreasonable, the Title IX Coordinator will reduce the terms of the agreed resolution to writing and present the resolution to the parties for their written signature. Once both parties and the Title IX Coordinator sign the resolution, the resolution is final, and the allegations addressed by the resolution are considered resolved and will not be subject to further investigation, adjudication, remediation, or discipline by the University, except as otherwise provided in the resolution itself, absent a showing that a party induced the resolution by fraud, misrepresentation, or other misconduct or where required to avoid a manifest injustice to either party or to the University. Notwithstanding the foregoing if the form of informal resolution is Administrative Adjudication as specified in Section XV.B, there shall not be an agreed resolution requiring the parties' signatures; instead, the determination issued by the administrative officer shall serve as the resolution and conclude the informal resolution process, subject only to any right of appeal. With the exception of a resolution resulting from the Administrative Adjudication process specified in Section XV.B, all other forms of informal resolution pursuant to this Section XXI are not subject to appeal.

A party may withdraw their consent to participate in informal resolution at any time before a resolution has been finalized.

Absent extension by the Title IX Coordinator, any informal resolution process must be completed within twenty-one (21) days. If an informal resolution process does not result in a resolution within twenty-one (21), and absent an extension, abeyance, or other contrary ruling by the Title IX Coordinator, the informal resolution process will be deemed terminated, and the Formal Complaint will be resolved pursuant to the investigation and adjudication procedures. The Title IX Coordinator may adjust any time periods or deadlines in the investigation and/or adjudication process that were suspended due to the informal resolution.

Other language in this Section XXI notwithstanding, informal resolution will not be permitted if the Respondent is a non-student employee accused of committing Sexual Harassment against a student.

Presumption of Non-Responsibility

As required by Department of Education regulations implementing Title IX, from the time a report or Formal Complaint is made, as the case may be, the University will adopt a presumption that the Respondent is not responsible for the alleged misconduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made final.

Conflicts of Interest, Bias, and Procedural Complaints

The Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing officer, administrative officer, appeals officer, and informal resolution facilitator will be free of any material conflicts of interest or material bias. Any party who believes one or more of these University officials has a material conflict of interest or material bias must raise the concern promptly so that the University may evaluate the concern and find a substitute, if appropriate. The failure of a party to timely raise a concern of a conflict of interest or bias may result in a waiver of the issue for purposes of any appeal specified in Section XVI or otherwise.

Objections Generally

Parties are expected to raise any objections, concerns, or complaints about the investigation, adjudication, and appeals process in a prompt and timely manner so that the University may evaluate the matter and address it, if appropriate. The failure of a party to timely raise an objection, concern, or complaint may result in a waiver of the issue for purposes of any appeal specified in Section XVII or otherwise.

Academic Freedom

The University will construe and apply this policy consistent with the principles of academic freedom specified in the Faculty Handbook. In no case will a Respondent be found to have committed Sexual Harassment based on expressive conduct that is protected by the principles of academic freedom specified in the Faculty Handbook.

Relationship With Criminal Process

This policy sets forth the University's processes for responding to reports and Formal Complaints of Sexual Harassment. The University's processes are separate, distinct, and independent of any criminal processes. While the University may temporarily delay its processes under this policy to avoid interfering with law enforcement efforts if requested by law enforcement, the University will otherwise apply this policy and its processes without regard to the status or outcome of any criminal process.

Recordings

Wherever this policy specifies that an audio or video recording will be made, the recording will be made only by the University and is considered property of the University, subject to any right of access that a party may have under this policy, FERPA, and other applicable federal, state, or local laws. Only the University is permitted to make audio or video recordings under this policy. The surreptitious recording of any meeting, interview, hearing, or other interaction contemplated under this policy is strictly prohibited. Any party who wishes to transcribe a hearing by use of a transcriptionist must seek pre-approval from the hearing officer.

Vendors, Contractors and Third Parties

The University does business with various vendors, contractors, and other third-parties who are not students or employees of the University. Notwithstanding any rights that a given vendor, contractor, or third-party Respondent may have under this policy, the University retains its right to limit any vendor, contractor, or third-party's access to campus for any reason. And the University retains all rights it enjoys

by contract or law to terminate its relationship with any vendor, contractor, or third-party irrespective of any process or outcome under this policy.

Bad Faith Complaints and False Information

It is a violation of this policy for any person to submit a report or Formal Complaint that the person knows, at the time the report or Formal Complaint is submitted, to be false or frivolous. It is also a violation of this policy for any person to knowingly make a materially false statement during the course of an investigation, adjudication, or appeal under this policy. Violations of this Section XXIX are not subject to the investigation and adjudication processes in this policy; instead, they will be addressed under the Code of Student Conduct in the case of students and other University policies and standards, as applicable, for other persons.

Retaliation

It is a violation of this policy to engage in Retaliation. Reports and Formal Complaints of retaliation may be made in the manner specified in Sections IV and IX. Any report or Formal Complaint of Retaliation will be processed under this policy in the same manner as a report or Formal Complaint of Sexual Harassment, as the case may be. The University retains discretion to consolidate a Formal Complaint of Retaliation with a Formal Complaint of Sexual Harassment for investigation and/or adjudication purposes if the two Formal Complaints share a common nexus.

Confidentiality

The University will keep confidential the identity of any individual who has made a report or Formal Complaint of Sexual Harassment or Retaliation including any Complainant, the identity of any individual who has been reported to be a perpetrator of Sexual Harassment or Retaliation including any Respondent, and the identity of any witness. The University will also maintain the confidentiality of its various records generated in response to reports and Formal Complaints, including, but not limited to, information concerning Supportive Measures, notices, investigation materials, adjudication records, and appeal records. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the University may reveal the identity of any person or the contents of any record if permitted by FERPA, if necessary to carry out the University's obligations under Title IX and its implementing regulations including the conduct of any investigation, adjudication, or appeal under this policy or any subsequent judicial proceeding, or as otherwise required by law. Further, notwithstanding the University's general obligation to maintain confidentiality as specified herein, the parties to a report or Formal Complaint will be given access to investigation and adjudication materials in the circumstances specified in this policy.

While the University will maintain confidentiality specified in this Section XXXI, the University will not limit the ability of the parties to discuss the allegations at issue in a particular case. Parties are advised, however, that the manner in which they communicate about, or discuss a particular case, may constitute Sexual Harassment or Retaliation in certain circumstances and be subject to discipline pursuant to the processes specified in this policy.

Other Violations of this Policy

Alleged violations of this policy, other than violations of the prohibitions on Sexual Harassment and Retaliation, will be subject to review under the Academic Catalog for students, the Faculty Handbook for faculty, or the Employee Handbook for employees.

Signatures and Form of Consent

For purposes of this policy, either a physical signature or digital signature will be sufficient to satisfy any obligation that a document be signed. Where this policy provides that written consent must be provided, consent in either physical or electronic form, containing a physical or digital signature, as the case may be, will suffice.

Deadlines, Time, Notices, and Method of Transmittal

Where this policy specifies a period of days by which some act must be performed, the following method of calculation applies:

- Exclude the day of the event that triggers the period;
- Count every day, including intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays recognized by the federal government;
- Include the last day of the period until 5:00 p.m. central time, but if the last day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday recognized by the federal government, the period continues to run until 5:00 p.m. central time on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday recognized by the federal government.

All deadlines and other time periods specified in this policy are subject to modification by the University where, in the University's sole discretion, good cause exists. Good cause may include, but is not limited to, the unavailability of parties or witnesses; the complexities of a given case; extended holidays or closures; sickness of the investigator, adjudicator, or the parties; the need to consult with the University's legal counsel; unforeseen weather events; and the like.

Any party who wishes to seek an extension of any deadline or other time period may do so by filing a request with the investigator, hearing officer, administrative officer, appeal officer, or Title IX Coordinator, as the case may be, depending on the phase of the process. Such request must state the extension sought and explain what good cause exists for the requested extension. The University officer resolving the request for extension may, but is not required to, give the other party an opportunity to object. Whether to grant such a requested extension will be in the sole discretion of the University.

The parties will be provided written notice of the modification of any deadline or time period specified in this policy, along with the reasons for the modification.

Where this policy refers to notice being given to parties "simultaneously," notice will be deemed simultaneous if it is provided in relative proximity on the same day. It is not necessary that notice be provided at exactly the same hour and minute.

Unless otherwise specified in this policy, the default method of transmission for all notices, reports, responses, and other forms of communication specified in this policy will be email using University email addresses.

A party is deemed to have received notice upon transmittal of an email to their University email address. In the event notice is provided by mail, a party will be deemed to have received notice three (3) days after the notice in question is postmarked.

Any notice inviting or requiring a party or witness to attend a meeting, interview, or hearing will be provided with sufficient time for the party to prepare for the meeting, interview, or hearing as the case may be, and will include relevant details such as the date, time, location, purpose, and participants. Unless a specific number of days is specified elsewhere in this policy, the sufficient time to be provided will be determined in the sole discretion of the University, considering all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, the nature of the meeting, interview, or hearing; the nature and complexity of the allegations at issue; the schedules of relevant University officials; approaching holidays or closures; and the number and length of extensions already granted.

Other Forms of Discrimination

This policy applies only to Sexual Harassment. Complaints of other forms of sex discrimination are governed by the University's Non-Discrimination/Anti-Harassment Policy.

Outside Appointments, Dual Appointments, and Delegations

The University retains discretion to retain and appoint suitably qualified persons who are not University employees to fulfill any function of the University under this policy, including, but not limited to, Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing officer, administrative officer, informal resolution officer, and appeals officer.

The University also retains discretion to appoint two or more persons to jointly fulfill the role of investigator, hearing officer, administrative officer, informal resolution officer, and/or appeals officer.

The functions assigned to a given University official under this policy, including but not limited to the functions assigned to the Title IX Coordinator, investigator, hearing officer, administrative officer, informal resolution officer, and appeals officer, may, in the University's discretion, be delegated by such University official to any suitably qualified individual and such delegation may be recalled by the University at any time.

Training

The University will ensure that University officials acting under this policy, including but not limited to the Title IX Coordinator, investigators, hearing officers, administrative officers, informal resolution facilitators, University provided advisors, and appeals officers receive training in compliance with 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) and any other applicable federal or state law.

Recordkeeping

The University will retain those records specified in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10) for a period of seven years after which point in time they may be destroyed, or continue to be retained, in the University's sole discretion. The records specified in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10) will be made available for inspection, and/or published, to the extent required by 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(10) and consistent with any other applicable federal or state law, including FERPA.

Definitions

Words used in this policy will have those meanings defined herein and if not defined herein will be construed according to their plain and ordinary meaning.

Discretion in Application

The University retains discretion to interpret and apply this policy in a manner that is not clearly unreasonable, even if the University's interpretation or application differs from the interpretation of the parties.

Despite the University's reasonable efforts to anticipate all eventualities in drafting this policy, it is possible unanticipated or extraordinary circumstances may not be specifically or reasonably addressed by the express policy language, in which case the University retains discretion to respond to the unanticipated or extraordinary circumstance in a way that is not clearly unreasonable.

The provisions of this policy and the Hearing Procedures referenced in Section XV.A.5 are not contractual in nature, whether in their own right, or as part of any other express or implied contract. Accordingly, the University retains discretion to revise this policy and the Hearing Procedures at any time, and for any reason. The University may apply policy revisions to an active case provided that doing so is not clearly unreasonable.